

Hearing Loops versus Bluetooth (BT)

Linda S. Remensnyder, Au.D.
President: Hearing Associates, P.C.

Transmitting Distance: A hearing loop has long-range transmission—example: auditoriums, sports arenas, and airports. Most BT is short range.

Facts re BT: According to Jerry Yanz, Ph.D., Director of Audiology at Hansaton: “There are 3 classes of BT: Class 3 has a range of 1 meter (wireless mouse or keyboard, for example), Class 2 has a 10-m range (cell phones, MP3 players, wireless hearing aid programming), and Class 1 has a 100-m range.” Thus BT range is a challenge and resolution of the kinks is “10 years down the road, at best.” Tim Vandermeer, an engineer at Ascom, says “you’re lucky to get 20 feet with BT”.

Number of Pairings: A hearing loop can accommodate multiple (100 to multiples of 100) users—example: House of Representatives / Westminster Abbey. Case in point: when our practice needs to provide television audibility for multiple users (hearing impaired couple at home or multiple residents in a senior gathering room where movies are watched), a room loop is the only option.

Facts re BT: “But there are other requirements, the most important of which is to have a transmitter that sends a signal to multiple receivers. Originally BT was designed as a secure, point-to-point technology. There are now point-to-multipoint BT applications but there is concern that they are yet amenable to transmitting data-intensive signals like audio”. “As yet, I don’t think there is one technology that meets all requirements. I do believe that solutions will be developed in the next few years that will change the picture.” (Jerry Yanz, Ph.D.)

As Tim Vandermeer, Ascom LLC engineer, has commented “only up to 9 devices can link to a BT transmitter unless one builds some type of very complex layered network.”

BT is Complicated The loop sign reminds all to push the T button on the hearing aid. It is a universal symbol reinforcing that full access to the proceedings via the facility’s sound system is achieved by switching the hearing aid to the T. The loop sign is an international symbol recognized world-wide.

Facts re BT: The recent (January/February, 2011) article in *Audiology Today (AT)* about connectivity confirms that BT is sometimes rejected due to “technophobia”. . . “the necessity for pairing may present a significant limitation for many potential older users of this technology” (p28 AT).

“BT is complicated both from a design stand-point [see above] and an end-user standpoint: For example, if you were standing in a crowd of people with your cell phone and you pushed the BT button, your phone would search for the BT signal and then list all the devices it found. At this point, you would need to make a choice as to which device you wanted to link to. Imagine a senior trying to do that with their hearing aid!” Todd Billins, President, Ascom LLC

Time Delay: The TC via a loop has no time delay.

Facts re BT: A commonly acknowledged drawback with BT is time delay (a proprietary slide showing different delays per each manufacturer was reviewed). “Although significant progress has been made

with respect to B1's audio delay, previous B1 systems introduced a delay in the audio transmission, which was quite distracting (up to 50 msec in some cases)" (AT, p. 28).

"BT is used to stream audio to the body-worn streamers of NFMI-based wireless hearing aid systems. This introduces a delay that may be unacceptable for television viewing, particularly in the case where direct sound and streamed sound are combined" (Hearing Review, p. 28). This is especially the case with our Receiver in the Ear / RITE hearing aid users who use Bluetooth for TV.

Battery Power: Because the loop provides an electromagnetic signal, there is no battery drain when the user is using the Telecoil (TC).

Facts re BT: BT requires considerable battery power and batteries need frequent recharging. According to Jennifer Groth, MA, Director of Audiology Communication at GN ReSound, "Currently there are nearly 12,000 different products using BT communication. Protocols for BT must therefore be broad enough and flexible enough to accommodate these many uses. Because of this, BT requires much more power to operate than would be practical or acceptable in HA's. (Hearing Review, Dec. 2010)

Industry Sparring: Could we get industry to agree on the technology? Currently, all manufacturers provide TC's

Facts re BT:

"As of now, each of the 6 major hearing aid companies has its own proprietary wireless transceiver technology in first generation products which are not compatible to each other. However, in time, I believe that differences in the various wireless technologies used among hearing aid manufacturers will likely be reduced as the relative advantages of one technology over others becomes better known, and the winning wireless technology may become ubiquitous in all hearing aids. . . . Although it would make perfect sense for the benefit of your patients to have a single universal wireless technology within the next 10-15 years, history has proven that hearing aid companies have not been able to easily achieve such standardization in technology". . . David Preves, Ph.D., senior staff engineer @ Starkey and a member of the ANSI standards committee.

There is incredible variation between linking strategies with each hearing aid manufacturer boasting their proprietary BT technology is the best. While the industry is working it all out, patients will be prevented from hearing in large venues. Some patients may even postpone hearing aid purchase/hearing aid replacement due to conflicting information that is too confusing. Market research has confirmed that too many options may stymie the purchaser.

BT is Expensive and requires the purchase of a BT-accessorized hearing aid with BT-Accessory Products:

TC's are accessible, inexpensive, easy to use and their gain and frequency response mimics the degree and configuration of hearing loss:

- New hearing loop standardization guidelines specify a frequency response of 100-5000 cycles and a dB restricted variance of plus or minus 3 dB. This ensures the transmission of pure clear sound with -0- noise allowed in the area. Of course, the transmission of the signal is dependent upon the speaker at the microphone. Garbage in / garbage out.
- The TC is a low cost HA option. At our office, the addition of a TC is a no cost option. Often, it is built-in to the hearing aid anyway—typical in a BTE. In cases where it is not, the wholesale price of telecoils is exceedingly reasonable.

- The majority (62%) of hearing aids already have a TC or have a TC that just needs to be activated via the manufacturer's software (*Hearing Review*, Feb, 2010) and thus, most hearing aid users can gain access in large venues without purchasing new hearing aids.
- The use of the TC is user-friendly—with a push of a button the TC can be accessed (a significant improvement provided by some manufacturers is the voice prompt so that the audible confirmation of the correct program is ensured)
- The use of the TC is via the same button for the telephone so many patients are already familiar with its location and operation.
- The use of the TC can accommodate an MT setting as well as a T setting. This is a significant advantage with individuals who need to hear a spouse who watches TV from their right, for example, or for those users whose amplified word recognition ability is better in one ear (the direct access for the sound system is reserved for the better hearing ear).

Together, we can transform how people hear with hearing aids in large places--**the easier the handling, the more likely it will be done.** (Juliette Sterkens) "The best system is simply 'the one that is used' " (Doug Beck and David Fabry in Jan/Feb *AT*)

Perhaps you want to emphasize the Human Factor? Why do we have to take what the hearing aid industry is coming out with as the only way? We are being "sold" a bill of goods that we have to deal with in our offices with a clientele that doesn't take to technology as readily as my 17 year old son...some do, no doubt about it, but the majority already have trouble pushing a button... We all have a stake in this... Together we can transform how people hear with hearing aids in large places (Juliette Sterkens).

My personal bias:

Access via a hearing loop requires no visible apparatus to wear.

TV loops via a TC discourage the widespread use of Closed Captioning which I personally believe contributes to Auditory Deprivation.

Bill Diles, Au.D., in 2006, confirmed impressive improvements in Consumer Satisfaction when hearing loops provided excellent TV audibility via the hearing aid's t-coil

The Task Force' own Hearing Loop Nationwide Questionnaire reveals loop satisfaction measures off the charts.

Magnitude of Hearing Loss can be from slight (my HL is WNL at 250-4000 with 35 dB at 6-8KHz) to severe and the TC gain and frequency response is predicated on the degree and configuration of hearing loss. Our practice has patients with a profound hearing loss in the critical high frequency range who hear better via their telecoil in a hearing loop because there is no feedback and audibility is superb! I believe that TC and their dual functionality will increase hearing aid sales. The importance of connectivity systems was further underlined by Kochkin (2007), who stated improvements in connectivity, with specific regard to multiple environmental listening utility (MELU), will improve hearing aid satisfaction and market penetration.

I believe that the facilitation of hearing loops will elevate the audiologist in the eyes of the public.

I believe that it is not appropriate that we tell our patients to sit in the first ten rows of their Place of Worship—they won't move! Or worse, they won't go.

I believe that access is our responsibility and it should be our mission.

I think it's wrong not to help our patients hear in every venue—especially if we have the technology at our fingertips.

Caveat:

Market research has verified that "Boomers are among the biggest buyers of new technology" and "few things capture Boomer interest more than tech". . .Best Buy CEO, Brian Dunn, 51, who is a Boomer,

noted I'm always caught on guard by the assumption that Boomers hesitate to embrace technology. But, he goes on to caution that, "**Boomers do demand ease of use**"(*USA Today*, 11/16/10)

I am a baby boomer, myself. I have an Apple iPhone 4, an Apple iMac Computer, and I use the Internet for *everything* yet I prefer to not demonstrate any of the Bluetooth devices in my waiting room and I elect not to pair them with the variety of cell phones that walk in my door—delegating Bluetooth demonstration to my two hearing aid dispensers who do the task all day. Bluetooth devices are just not as user-friendly as my Apple products and the hearing aid industry should not generalize that baby boomers embrace new technology for the sake of new technology. **Baby boomers only embrace user-friendly new technology and the hearing aid industry is just not Apple.**

I have concerns that the wide area application of Bluetooth may constitute Vaporware. Wikipedia describes Vaporware as products not released on the date announced by their developer, or announced months or years before their release. The word usually implies a pessimistic uncertainty that the products will *ever* be released. Initially, the term was applied to hardware or software, but it has been expanded to apply to a growing range of products including consumer electronics, automobiles, and some stock trading practices.

Seven major IT companies issued a report in 1990 saying they felt Vaporware had hurt the industry's credibility (and I think it is hurting the hearing aid manufacturer's credibility as well). Reportedly, **the aim of the developers is to announce their products early to intentionally gain advantage over others. . . .**